arXiv:1603.02391v1 [math.NT] 8 Mar 2016

Remarks on the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime

Shane Chern

Abstract. Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be a finite field of size p where p is an odd prime. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d-th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $d \mid p-1$. Furthermore, we require that $f(x)$ and x are coprime. The main purpose of this paper is to give an estimate of the number of pairs $(\xi, \xi^\alpha f(\xi))$ such that both ξ and $\xi^\alpha f(\xi)$ are primitive roots of p where α is a given integer. This answers a question of Han and Zhang.

Keywords. Primitive root, character sum, Weil bound.

2010MSC. Primary 11A07; Secondary 11L40.

1. Introduction

Let a and q be relatively prime integers, with $q \geq 1$. We know from the Euler-Fermat theorem that $a^{\phi}(q) \equiv 1 \bmod q$, where $\phi(q)$ is the Euler totient function. We say an integer f is the exponent of a modulo q if f is smallest positive integer such that $a^f \equiv 1 \bmod q$. If $f = \phi(q)$, then a is called a primitive root of q. If q has a primitive root a, then the group of the reduced residue classes mod q is the cyclic group generated by the residue class $\hat{a}$. It is well-known that primitive roots exist only for the following moduli:

$$q = 1,\ 2,\ 4,\ p^\alpha,\ \text{and}\ 2p^\alpha,$$

where p is an odd prime and $\alpha \geq 1$. The reader may refer to Chapter 10 of T. M. Apostol's book [1] for detailed contents.

There has been a long history studying the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime. In a recent paper, D. Han and W. Zhang [3] considered the number of pairs $(\xi, m\xi^k + n\xi)$ such that both ξ and $m\xi^k + n\xi$ are primitive roots of an odd prime p where m, n and k are given integers with $k \neq 1$ and $(mn, p) = 1$. The reader may also find some descriptions of other interesting problems on primitive roots such as the Golomb's conjecture in [3] and references therein. After presenting their main results, Han and Zhang proposed the following

Question 1.1. Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be a finite field of size p and $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Whether there exists a primitive element $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $f(\xi)$ is also a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_p$?

In this paper, we let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d-th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $d \mid p-1$. Furthermore, we require that $f(x)$ and x are coprime. Let α be a given integer, we denote by $N(\alpha, f; p)$ the number of pairs $(\xi, \xi^\alpha f(\xi))$ such that both ξ and $\xi^\alpha f(\xi)$ are primitive roots of p. Our result is

Theorem 1.1. *It holds that*

$$N(\alpha, f; p) = (p - 1 - R(f)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 + \theta k 4^{\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2, \tag{1.1}$$

where $|\theta| < 1$, $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of n, and $R(f)$ denotes the number of distinct zeros of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Now if we take $\alpha = 0$ and $f(x) = x + 1$, then we get the famous result on consecutive primitive roots obtained by J. Johnsen [4] and M. Szalay [5]. If we take

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = 1 \text{ and } f(x) = mx^{k-1} + n & \text{if } k > 1, \\ \alpha = k \text{ and } f(x) = nx^{1-k} + m & \text{if } k < 1, \end{cases}$$

then we get Han and Zhang's result immediately.

Remark 1.1. We should mention that there is a minor mistake in Han and Zhang's result. In fact, they forgot to consider the zeros of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$. For example, if we choose $f(x) = x^{-1} + x = x^{-1}(x^2 + 1)$, then there are $1 + (-1|p)$ distinct zeros of $x^2 + 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$ where $(*|p)$ is the Legendre symbol. In this sense, the main term of $N(-1, x^2 + 1; p)$ (or their $N(-1, 1, 1, p)$) should be

$$(p - 2 - (-1|p)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2$$

while not $\phi^2(p-1)/(p-1)$.

2. Preliminary lemmas

We first introduce the indicator function of primitive roots.

Lemma 2.1 (L. Carlitz [2, Lemma 2])**.** *We have*

$$\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \sum_{d|p-1} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p \\ \mathrm{ord}\chi = d}} \chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a primitive root of } p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Here μ is the Möbius function, and $\mathrm{ord}\chi$ denotes the order of a Dirichlet character $\chi \bmod p$, that is, the smallest positive integer f such that $\chi^f = \chi_0$, the principal character modulo p.

The following famous Weil bound for character sums plays an important role in our proof.

Lemma 2.2 (A. Weil [7])**.** *Let χ be a non-principal Dirichlet character modulo p with order d. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d-th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Then we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi(f(n)) \right| \le (k-1)\sqrt{p}. \tag{2.2}$$

We also need the less-known extension of Weil bound obtained by D. Wan.

Lemma 2.3 (D. Wan [6, Corollary 2.3]). *Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_m$ be non-principal Dirichlet characters modulo p with orders $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m$, respectively. Suppose $f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_m(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ are pairwise prime polynomials of positive degrees $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m$. Suppose also that $f_i(x)$ is not a d_i-th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then we have*

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(f_1(n))\chi_2(f_2(n)) \cdots \chi_m(f_m(n))\right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i - 1\right)\sqrt{p}. \tag{2.3}$$

From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

Lemma 2.4. *Let χ_1 be a Dirichlet character modulo p, and χ_2 be a non-principal Dirichlet character modulo p with order d. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d-th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. We also require that $f(x)$ and x are coprime. Furthermore, let α be a given integer. Then we have*

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n^\alpha)\chi_2(f(n))\right| \leq \begin{cases} (k-1)\sqrt{p} & \text{if } \chi_1^\alpha \text{ is the principal character,} \\ k\sqrt{p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n^\alpha)\chi_2(f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1^\alpha(n)\chi_2(f(n)).$$

Now if χ_1^α is the principal character, then it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n^\alpha)\chi_2(f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(f(n)),$$

and we get the bound from Lemma 2.2. If χ_1^α is not the principal character, then the bound is obtained through a direct application of Lemma 2.3. □

3. Proof of the main result

It follows by Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} &N(\alpha, f; p) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2 \sum_{d_1|p-1} \sum_{d_2|p-1} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \operatorname{ord}\chi_1 = d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \operatorname{ord}\chi_2 = d_2}} \chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \\ &= (p - 1 - R(f)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1 \\ d_1>1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \operatorname{ord}\chi_1 = d_1}} \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \operatorname{ord}\chi_2 = d_2}} \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1\\ d_1>1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)}\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_1=d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)).$$

Claim 3.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1\\ d_1>1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_1=d_1}} \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) = 0.$$

Proof. We deduce it directly from

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi(n) = 0$$

if χ is not the principal character modulo p. □

Claim 3.2. *We have*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \le (2^{\omega(p-1)}-1)k\sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha)\chi_2(f(n)).$$

Now by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \le k\sqrt{p}.$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|p-1\\ d>1}} |\mu(d)| = 2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| &\le \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}} \left|\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)}\right| \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \left| \sum_{n-1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}} \left|\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)}\right| \phi(d_2) k\sqrt{p} \\
&= (2^{\omega(p-1)}-1)k\sqrt{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Claim 3.3. *We have*

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1\\ d_1>1}}\sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}}\frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)}\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)}\sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_1=d_1}}\sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n))\right| \le (2^{\omega(p-1)}-1)^2 k\sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\chi_1\chi_2^\alpha(n)\chi_2(f(n)).$$

Again by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n))\right| \le k\sqrt{p}.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1\\ d_1>1}}\sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}}\frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)}\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)}\sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_1=d_1}}\sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n))\right| \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1\\ d_1>1}}\sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}}\left|\frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)}\right|\left|\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)}\right|\sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_1=d_1}}\sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p\\ \mathrm{ord}\chi_2=d_2}}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\chi_1(n)\chi_2(n^\alpha f(n))\right| \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1\\ d_1>1}}\sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1\\ d_2>1}}\left|\frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)}\right|\left|\frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)}\right|\phi(d_1)\phi(d_2)k\sqrt{p} \\
&= (2^{\omega(p-1)}-1)^2 k\sqrt{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We conclude by combining Claims 3.1-3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|N(\alpha,f;p)-(p-1-R(f))\left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2\right| \\
&\le \left((2^{\omega(p-1)}-1)+(2^{\omega(p-1)}-1)^2\right)k\sqrt{p}\left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2 \\
&< k4^{\omega(p-1)}\sqrt{p}\left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

References

1. T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. xii+338 pp.
2. L. Carlitz, Sets of primitive roots, *Compositio Math.* **13** (1956), 65–70.
3. D. Han and W. Zhang, On the existence of some special primitive roots mod p, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **58(106)** (2015), no. 1, 59–66.

4. J. Johnsen, On the distribution of powers in finite fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **251** (1971), 10–19.
5. M. Szalay, On the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime, *J. Number Theory* **7** (1975), 184–188.
6. D. Wan, Generators and irreducible polynomials over finite fields, *Math. Comp.* **66** (1997), no. 219, 1195–1212.
7. A. Weil, On some exponential sums, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **34** (1948), 204–207.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China
E-mail address: shanechern@zju.edu.cn; chenxiaohang92@gmail.com